The Winter School on DFT: Theories and Practical Aspects

Institute of Physics (IOP), Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) in Beijing, China, Dec. 19-23, 2016.

Theory of Berry Phase and Wannier Function



Hongming Weng (翁红明)

Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences

Dec. 19-23@IOP, CAS, Beijing

M. V. Berry



Awards [edit]

Berry has been given the following prizes and awards:^[6]

- · Maxwell Medal and Prize, Institute of Physics, 1978
- Elected Fellow of the Royal Society of London, 1982
- Elected Fellow of the Royal Society of Arts, 1983
- Elected Fellow OFellow of the Royal Society of Arts
- Elected Member of the Royal Society of Sciences in Uppsala, Sweden, 1986
- Bakerian Lecturer, Royal Society, 1987
- · Elected member of the European Academy, 1989
- Dirac Medal and Prize, Institute of Physics, 1990
- Lilienfeld Prize, American Physical Society, 1990
- Royal Medal, Royal Society, 1990
- Naylor Prize and Lectureship in Applied Mathematics, London Mathematical Society, 1992
- · Foreign Member: US National Academy of Science, 1995
- Dirac Medal, International Centre for Theoretical Physics, 1996
- Kapitsa Medal, Russian Academy of Sciences, 1997
- Wolf Prize for Physics, Wolf Foundation, Israel, 1998
- · Honorary Fellow of the Institute of Physics, 1999
- Foreign Member: Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, 2000^[7]
- . Ig Nobel Prize for Physics, 2000 (shared with Andre Geim for "The Physics of Flying Frogs")
- Onsager Medal, Norwegian Technical University, 2001
- Gibbs Lecturer, American Mathematical Society, 2002^[8]
- 1st and 3rd prizes, Visions of Science, Novartis/Daily Telegraph, 2002
- · Elected to Royal Society of Edinburgh 2005
- Pólya Prize, London Mathematical Society 2005
- · Doctor of Science, honoris causa, University of Glasgow 2007
- Doctor of Science, honoris causa, Russian-Armenian (Slavonic) University in Yerevan 2012
- Lorentz Medal (2014)

M. V. Berry and Berry Phase

Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 392, 45–57 (1984) Printed in Great Britain

Quantal phase factors accompanying adiabatic changes

BY M. V. BERRY, F.R.S.

H. H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Tyndall Avenue, Bristol BS8 1TL, U.K.

(Received 13 June 1983)

A quantal system in an eigenstate, slowly transported round a circuit C by varying parameters \mathbf{R} in its Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(\mathbf{R})$, will acquire a geometrical phase factor $\exp\{i\gamma(C)\}$ in addition to the familiar dynamical phase factor. An explicit general formula for $\gamma(C)$ is derived in terms of the spectrum and eigenstates of $\hat{H}(\mathbf{R})$ over a surface spanning C. If C lies near a degeneracy of $\hat{H}, \gamma(C)$ takes a simple form which includes as a special case the sign change of eigenfunctions of real symmetric matrices round a degeneracy. As an illustration $\gamma(C)$ is calculated for spinning particles in slowly-changing magnetic fields; although the sign reversal of spinors on rotation is a special case, the effect is predicted to occur for bosons as well as fermions, and a method for observing it is proposed. It is shown that the Aharonov-Bohm effect can be interpreted as a geometrical phase factor.

Geometric phase: A classical Case

Geometric phase: parallel transport of tangent vector on curved surface



The twisted angle of the tangent vector after a parallel transport loop is equal to the solid angle subtended by the path it transversed.

M.V. Berry, Proc.R. Soc. Lond. A **392**, 45 (1984)

R(t) parameter space

A round adiabatic change



Time dependent cyclic evolution: t -> 0 - T $\hat{H}(\boldsymbol{R}(t)) | \psi(t) \rangle = i\hbar | \dot{\psi}(t) \rangle$

At each R(t)

$$H(\mathbf{R}(t)) |n(\mathbf{R}(t))\rangle = E_n (\mathbf{R}(t)) |n(\mathbf{R}(t))\rangle$$

but there is arbitrary phase not determined

 $|n(\mathbf{R})\rangle \rightarrow \exp[i\chi(\mathbf{R})]|n(\mathbf{R})\rangle$

adiabatic approximation

At the next neighboring R(t)

$$|n(\mathbf{R})\rangle$$
 stays in n-state, but not in m-state (m!=n)

$$\langle m(R(t+\Delta t)|n(R(t))\rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow n(R(t))\rangle \to c_n(t)|n(R(t))\rangle$$

$$c_n(t) = e^{-i/\hbar \int_0^t E_n(t')dt'} e^{i\gamma_n(t)}$$

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \exp\left\{\frac{-i}{\hbar}\int_0^t dt' E_n(\mathbf{R}(t'))\right\} \exp\left(i\gamma_n(t))|n(\mathbf{R}(t))\rangle$$

additional time-dependent phase

 $\dot{\gamma}_n(t) = \mathrm{i} \left\langle n(\boldsymbol{R}(t)) \mid \nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}} n(\boldsymbol{R}(t)) \right\rangle \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{R}}(t)$

After adiabatic cyclic loop evolution: t $\rightarrow 0 - T$

$$|\psi(T)\rangle = \exp(i\gamma_n(C))\exp\left\{\frac{-i}{\hbar}\int_0^T dt E_n(\boldsymbol{R}(t))\right\}|\psi(0)\rangle$$

the geometric phase acquired (Berry phase)

$$\gamma_n(\mathbf{C}) = \mathrm{i} \oint_{\mathbf{C}} \langle n(\boldsymbol{R}) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}} n(\boldsymbol{R}) \rangle \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{R}$$

M.V. Berry, Proc.R. Soc. Lond. A **392**, 45 (1984)

R(t) parameter space

A round adiabatic change \vec{R} Degeneracy point \vec{R} round adiabatic change

Geometric phase: $\gamma_n (C) = i \oint_C \langle n(\mathbf{R}) | \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} n(\mathbf{R}) \rangle d\mathbf{R}$ Stoke's theorem $\gamma_n(C) = -\operatorname{Im} \iint_C dS \cdot \nabla \times \langle n | \nabla n \rangle,$ $= -\operatorname{Im} \iint_C dS \cdot \langle \nabla n | \times | \nabla n \rangle,$ Stoke's theorem is applicable only if S(C)is simply connected. $= -\operatorname{Im} \iint_C dS \cdot \langle \nabla n | \times | \nabla n \rangle,$

 $\hat{H}(\boldsymbol{R}) |n(\boldsymbol{R})\rangle = E_n(\boldsymbol{R}) |n(\boldsymbol{R})\rangle$

$$\left< m \right| \left. \nabla n \right> = \left< m \right| \left. \nabla \hat{H} \right| n \right> / (E_n - E_m), \quad m \neq n$$

Thus γ_n can be expressed as

$$\gamma_n(\mathbf{C}) = - \iint_{\mathbf{C}} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{S} \cdot \boldsymbol{V}_n(\boldsymbol{R}),$$

where

$$V_n(\mathbf{R}) \equiv \operatorname{Im} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\langle n(\mathbf{R}) | \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{H}(\mathbf{R}) | m(\mathbf{R}) \rangle \times \langle m(\mathbf{R}) | \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \hat{H}(\mathbf{R}) | n(\mathbf{R}) \rangle}{(E_m(\mathbf{R}) - E_n(\mathbf{R}))^2}$$

M.V. Berry, Proc.R. Soc. Lond. A 392, 45 (1984)

R(t) parameter space

A round adiabatic change

Eigenstate: $|\psi(t)\rangle = \exp\left\{\frac{-i}{\hbar}\int_{0}^{t} dt' E_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}(t'))\right\} \exp\left(i\gamma_{n}(t)\right) n(\boldsymbol{R}(t))\rangle$ ₹-space S(C) H(R(t))A round adiabatic change R* Degeneracy point Geometric phase: $\gamma_n(C) = i \oint_C \langle n(\mathbf{R}) | \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} n(\mathbf{R}) \rangle d\mathbf{R} =$ $\iint_{S(C)} \boldsymbol{V}_n(\boldsymbol{R}) \cdot d\boldsymbol{S},$ Stoke's theorem is Berry connection & $\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{R}) = i \langle n(\boldsymbol{R}) | \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{R}} n(\boldsymbol{R}) \rangle$ applicable only if S(C) $\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r})$ $\boldsymbol{V}_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}) = \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{R}} \times i \langle n(\boldsymbol{R}) | \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{\boldsymbol{R}} n(\boldsymbol{R}) \rangle$ Berry curvature: is simply connected. Gauge dependence: $|n(\mathbf{R})\rangle \rightarrow \exp[i\chi(\mathbf{R})]|n(\mathbf{R})\rangle$ $\langle n(\mathbf{R}) \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} n(\mathbf{R}) \rangle \rightarrow \langle n(\mathbf{R}) \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} n(\mathbf{R}) \rangle + i \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} \chi(\mathbf{R})$ $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}} \times \nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}} \chi(\boldsymbol{R}) = \mathbf{0}$ A(R) is gauge-dependent, while $V_n(R)$ is gauge-invariant. a 'magnetic field' (in parameter space) whose 'vector potential' is $\operatorname{Im} \langle n | \nabla n \rangle$

M.V. Berry, Proc.R. Soc. Lond. A 392, 45 (1984)

R(t) parameter space

A round adiabatic change



 \mathbf{R}^* is the point where $E_m(\mathbf{R}^*) = E_n(\mathbf{R}^*)$ with energy degeneracy or band crossing.

around R* :	$H(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} Z \\ X+iY \end{pmatrix}$	$ \begin{pmatrix} X - iY \\ -Z \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{q} $	Γ.
R*=0 R	$E_{\pm}(\mathbf{R}) = \pm \frac{1}{2}R$ $V_{+}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R}/2R^{3}.$	Magnetic monopole in R-space	Berry phase: I, accumulated phase along loop-path C inside of vector potential; 2, magnetic flux through S;
	$\exp{\{i\gamma_{\pm}(C)\}} = \exp{\{i\gamma_{\pm}(C)\}}$	$p \{ \mp \frac{1}{2} i \Omega(C) \}$	3, the solid angle subtended by $S(C)$ related to R^* .

M.V. Berry, Proc.R. Soc. Lond. A 392, 45 (1984)

A round adiabatic change



Berry phase depends on the topology of H(R) related to H(R*)!



three-Dimensional case: with kz



M.V. Berry, Proc.R. Soc. Lond. A 392, 45 (1984) Aharonov-Bohm Effect (1959)



. 1

$$\oint_{\mathbf{C}} A(\mathbf{R}) \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{R} = \Phi$$

$$H(\hat{p} - qA(\hat{r}), \hat{r} - R) | n(R) \rangle = E_n | n(R) \rangle$$

$$\langle r | n(R) \rangle = \exp\left\{\frac{iq}{\hbar} \int_R^r dr' \cdot A(r')\right\} \psi_n(r - R).$$

$$\langle n(R) | \nabla_R n(R) \rangle = \iiint d^3 r \psi_n^*(r - R) \left\{\frac{-iq}{\hbar} A(R) \psi_n(r - R) + \nabla_R \psi_n(r - R)\right\}$$

$$= -iqA(R)/\hbar.$$

$$\gamma_n(C) = \frac{q}{\hbar} \oint_C A(R) \cdot dR = q \Phi/\hbar$$

M.V. Berry, Proc.R. Soc. Lond. A **392**, 15 (1984) M.V. Berry, Proc.R. Soc. Lond. A **392**, 45 (1984)

Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 392, 15–43 (1984) Printed in Great Britain

Diabolical points in the spectra of triangles

BY M. V. BERRY, F.R.S., AND M. WILKINSON

H. H. Wills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Tyndall Avenue, Bristol BS8 1TL, U.K.

(Received 27 April 1983)

'Accidental' degeneracies between energy levels E_j and E_{j+1} of a real Hamiltonian can occur generically in a family of Hamiltonians labelled by at least two parameters X, Y, \ldots Energy-level surfaces in E, X, Y space have (locally) a double-cone (diabolo) connection and we refer to the degeneracies themselves as 'diabolical points'. We studied the family of



FIGURE 8. Geometry and notation near a diabolical point.

Wave-function in Solids

Bloch representation

 $[\mathbf{H},\mathbf{T}_R] = 0 \Longrightarrow \psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = u_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \quad \psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow e^{i\varphi_n(\mathbf{k})}\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$

Wannier representation

$$w_n(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) = |\mathbf{R}n\rangle = \frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{BZ} |\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\rangle e^{i\varphi_n(\mathbf{k}) - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} d\mathbf{k}$$



Equivalence between two representations: span the same Hilbert space



Orthonormality & Completeness of Wannier Function

$$\langle \mathbf{R}'m \| \mathbf{R}n \rangle = \left(\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \right)^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}Z} \int_{BZ} \langle \psi_{m\mathbf{k}'}(\mathbf{r}) | e^{-i\varphi_m(\mathbf{k}') + i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}'} d\mathbf{k}' \int_{BZ} | \psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle e^{i\varphi_n(\mathbf{k}) - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} d\mathbf{k} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \right)^2 \int_{BZ} \int_{BZ} \int_{BZ} \langle \psi_{m\mathbf{k}'} | \psi_{n\mathbf{k}} \rangle e^{-i\varphi_m(\mathbf{k}') + i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}'} d\mathbf{k}' \cdot e^{i\varphi_n(\mathbf{k}) - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} d\mathbf{k}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \right)^2 \int_{BZ} \int_{BZ} \delta_{m,n} \delta_{\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\varphi_m(\mathbf{k}') + i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}'} d\mathbf{k}' \cdot e^{i\varphi_n(\mathbf{k}) - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} d\mathbf{k}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \right)^2 \int_{BZ} \int_{BZ} \int_{BZ} \delta_{m,n} \delta_{\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\varphi_m(\mathbf{k}') + i\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{R}'} d\mathbf{k}' \cdot e^{i\varphi_n(\mathbf{k}) - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} d\mathbf{k}$$

$$= \left(\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \right)^2 \int_{BZ} \int_{BZ} \int_{BZ} d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{k}$$

$$= \delta_{m,n} \delta_{\mathbf{R}',\mathbf{R}}$$

Arbitrariness of Wannier Function

1.
$$\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow e^{i\varphi_n(\mathbf{k})}\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$$

The arbitrary phase $\varphi_n(\mathbf{k}) = \varphi_n(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})$ is periodic in reciprocal lattice translation G but not assigned by the Schrodinger equation.

$$w_n(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}) = |\mathbf{R}n\rangle = \frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{BZ} |\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\rangle e^{i\varphi_n(\mathbf{k}) - i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} d\mathbf{k}$$

2.
$$\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \sum_{m} U_{mn}^{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$$

 $w_{n}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) = |\mathbf{R}n\rangle = \frac{V}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int_{BZ} \sum_{m=1}^{N} U_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k})} |\psi_{m\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\rangle e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} d\mathbf{k}$
 $= \frac{V}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int_{BZ} |\widetilde{\psi}_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\rangle e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} d\mathbf{k}$

Freedom of Gauge Choice

For composite bands, choice of phase and "band-index labeling" at each k

For entangling bands, the subspace should be optimized.

Optimal Subspace

Maximally Localized Wannier Functions

N. Marzari and D. Vanderbilt PRB56, 12847 (1997)

Localization criterion

Minimizing the **spread functional** defined as

$$\Omega = \sum_{n} \left[\left\langle 0n \left| \mathbf{r}^{2} \right| 0n \right\rangle - \left\langle 0n \left| \mathbf{r} \right| 0n \right\rangle^{2} \right] = \sum_{n} \left[\left\langle \mathbf{r}^{2} \right\rangle_{n} - \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{n}^{2} \right]$$

by finding the proper choice of $U_{mn}^{(k)}$ for a given set of Bloch functions.

• Optimization with the knowledge of Gradient

$$G = \frac{d\Omega}{dW} \longrightarrow dW = \varepsilon \cdot (-G)$$

$$\longrightarrow U_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k})} \Leftarrow U_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k})} + dW_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k})} \longrightarrow |u_{n\mathbf{k}}\rangle \Leftarrow |u_{n\mathbf{k}}\rangle + \sum_{n} dW_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k})}|u_{m\mathbf{k}}\rangle$$

$$\longrightarrow w_{n}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}) = |\mathbf{R}n\rangle = \frac{V}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int_{BZ} \sum_{m=1}^{N} U_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k})} |\psi_{m\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})\rangle e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} d\mathbf{k}$$

The equation of motion for $U_{mn}^{(k)}$. $U_{mn}^{(k)}$ is moving in the direction opposite to the gradient to decrease the value of Ω , until a minimum is reached. A proper Gauge choice.

Spread functional in real-space

$$\begin{split} \Omega &= \sum_{n} \left[\left\langle 0n \left| \mathbf{r}^{2} \right| 0n \right\rangle - \left\langle 0n \left| \mathbf{r} \right| 0n \right\rangle^{2} \right] \\ &= \sum_{n} \left[\left\langle 0n \left| \mathbf{r}^{2} \right| 0n \right\rangle - \sum_{\mathbf{R}m} \left| \left\langle \mathbf{R}m \left| \mathbf{r} \right| 0n \right\rangle \right|^{2} + \sum_{\mathbf{R}m \neq 0n} \left| \left\langle \mathbf{R}m \left| \mathbf{r} \right| 0n \right\rangle \right|^{2} \right] \\ &= \sum_{n} \left[\left\langle 0n \left| \mathbf{r}^{2} \right| 0n \right\rangle - \sum_{\mathbf{R}m} \left| \left\langle \mathbf{R}m \left| \mathbf{r} \right| 0n \right\rangle \right|^{2} \right] + \sum_{n} \sum_{\mathbf{R}m \neq 0n} \left| \left\langle \mathbf{R}m \left| \mathbf{r} \right| 0n \right\rangle \right|^{2} = \Omega_{I} + \widetilde{\Omega} \\ &= \Omega_{I} + \sum_{n} \sum_{\mathbf{R} \neq 0} \left| \left\langle \mathbf{R}n \left| \mathbf{r} \right| 0n \right\rangle \right|^{2} + \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \left\langle \mathbf{R}m \left| \mathbf{r} \right| 0n \right\rangle \right|^{2} \\ &= \Omega_{I} + \Omega_{D} + \Omega_{OD} \end{split}$$

 Ω_{I} , Ω_{D} and Ω_{OD} are all *positive-definite*. Especially Ω_{I} is *gauge-invariant*, means it will not change under any arbitrary unitary transformation of Bloch orbitals. Thus, only $\Omega_{D}+\Omega_{OD}$ should be minimized.

Spread functional in Reciprocal-space

$$\Omega = \Omega_I + \sum_{n} \sum_{\mathbf{R} \neq 0} \left| \langle \mathbf{R}n | \mathbf{r} | 0n \rangle \right|^2 + \sum_{m \neq n} \sum_{\mathbf{R}} \left| \langle \mathbf{R}m | \mathbf{r} | 0n \rangle \right|^2$$
$$= \Omega_I + \Omega_D + \Omega_{OD}$$

Using the following transformations, matrix elements of the position operator in WF basis can be expressed in Bloch function basis:

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \mathbf{R}n \left| \mathbf{r} \right| 0m \right\rangle &= i \frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \left\langle u_{n\mathbf{k}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \right| u_{m\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \mathbf{R}n \left| \mathbf{r}^2 \right| 0m \right\rangle &= -\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \left\langle u_{n\mathbf{k}} \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \right| u_{m\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle \\ \mathbf{\bar{r}}_n &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}} w_{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{b} \operatorname{Im} \ln M_{nn}^{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b})} \\ \Omega_I &= \sum_n \left[\left\langle 0n \left| \mathbf{r}^2 \right| 0n \right\rangle - \sum_{\mathbf{R}m} \left| \left\langle \mathbf{R}m \left| \mathbf{r} \right| 0n \right\rangle \right|^2 \right] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}} w_b \left(J - \sum_{m,n} \left| M_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b})} \right|^2 \right) \\ \Omega_{OD} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}} w_b \sum_{m \neq n} \left| M_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b})} \right|^2 \\ \Omega_D &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}} w_b \sum_n \left(-\operatorname{Imln} M_{nn}^{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b})} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{r}}_n \right)^2 \end{split}$$

Gradient of Spread Functional

Overlap Matrix $M_{mn}^{(k,b)}$

$$\psi_{m \in win}^{(k)}(r) = e^{ikr} u_{m \in win}^{(k)}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{p}^{N} e^{iR_{p}k} \sum_{i,\alpha} C_{m \in win,i\alpha}^{(k)} \phi_{i\alpha}(r - \tau_{i} - R_{p})$$

$$M_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{b})} = \left\langle u_m^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \middle| u_n^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle = \left\langle \psi_m^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \middle| e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b})\cdot\mathbf{r}} \middle| \psi_n^{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p,q}^{N} e^{-i\mathbf{R}_p \mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{R}_q(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b})} \sum_{\substack{i,\alpha\\j,\beta}} C_{m,i\alpha}^{(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b})} \left\langle \phi_{i\alpha}(r-\tau_i-\mathbf{R}_p) \middle| e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \middle| \phi_{j\beta}(r-\tau_j-\mathbf{R}_q) \right\rangle$$

$$=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{p,q}^{N}e^{-i(\mathbf{R}_{p}-\mathbf{R}_{q})\mathbf{k}}\sum_{\substack{i,\alpha\\j,\beta}}C_{m,i\alpha}^{(\mathbf{k})*}C_{n,j\beta}^{(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b})}\left\langle\phi_{i\alpha}(r-\tau_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{p})\left|e^{-i(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_{q})\cdot\mathbf{b}}\right|\phi_{j\beta}(r-\tau_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{q})\right\rangle$$

$$r' = r - \tau_i - \mathbf{R}_p$$

$$M_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{b})} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p,q}^{N} e^{-i(\mathbf{R}_p - \mathbf{R}_q)\mathbf{k}} \sum_{\substack{i,\alpha \\ j,\beta}} C_{m,i\alpha}^{(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b})} \left\langle \phi_{i\alpha}(r') \middle| e^{-i(\mathbf{r}' + \tau_i + \mathbf{R}_p - \mathbf{R}_q)\cdot\mathbf{b}} \middle| \phi_{j\beta}(r' + \tau_i - \tau_j + \mathbf{R}_p - \mathbf{R}_q) \right\rangle$$

$$=\sum_{q}^{N}e^{i\mathbf{R}_{q}\cdot\mathbf{k}}\sum_{\substack{i,\alpha\\j,\beta}}C_{m,i\alpha}^{(\mathbf{k})*}C_{n,j\beta}^{(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b})}\left\langle\phi_{i\alpha}(r')\left|e^{-i(\mathbf{r}'+\tau_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{q})\cdot\mathbf{b}}\right|\phi_{j\beta}(r'+\tau_{i}-\tau_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{q})\right\rangle$$

$$=\sum_{q}^{N}e^{i\mathbf{R}_{q}\cdot(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b})}\sum_{\substack{i,\alpha\\j,\beta}}e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}}C_{m,i\alpha}^{(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b})}\Big\langle\phi_{i\alpha}(r')\Big|e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{r}'}\Big|\phi_{j\beta}(r'+\boldsymbol{\tau}_{i}-\boldsymbol{\tau}_{j}-\mathbf{R}_{q})\Big\rangle$$

Initial guess for MLWF

$$A_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k})} = \left\langle u_{m\mathbf{k}} \left| g_n \right\rangle \quad \left| \phi_{n\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle = \sum_{m}^{N_{win}^{(\mathbf{k})}} A_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k})} \left| u_{m\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle$$

The resulting N functions can be orthonormalized by Löwdin transformation

$$\left| u_{n\mathbf{k}}^{opt} \right\rangle = \sum_{m=1}^{N} (S^{-1/2})_{mn} \left| \phi_{m\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle \qquad S_{mn} \equiv S_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k})} = \langle \phi_{m\mathbf{k}} \left| \phi_{n\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle = (A^{+}A)_{mn}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{N} (S^{-1/2})_{mn} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{win}^{(\mathbf{k})}} A_{pm}^{(\mathbf{k})} \left| u_{p\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle \qquad 1. \text{ to avoid the local minima and accelerate the convergence;}$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{N_{win}^{(\mathbf{k})}} (AS^{-1/2})_{pn} \left| u_{p\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle \qquad 1. \text{ to eliminate the random phase factor of Bloch function}$$

Therefore, AS^{-1/2} is used as the initial guess of $U^{(\mathbf{k})}$

Initial guess for MLWF

$$A_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k})} = \left\langle u_{m\mathbf{k}} \left| g_n \right\rangle \qquad \psi_{m \in win}^{(k)}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{p}^{N} e^{iR_p k} \sum_{i,\alpha} C_{m \in win,i\alpha}^{(k)} \phi_{i\alpha}(r - \tau_i - R_p) \right\rangle$$

In OpenMX, we use the pesudo-atomic orbital as initial trial functions.

$$g_n(r) = g_{j,\beta}(r) = \phi_{j,\beta}(r)$$

For selected Bloch function, the projection matrix element can be expressed as:

$$A_{mn}^{(k)} = \left\langle \psi_{m \in win}^{(k)}(r) \middle| g_n(r) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{p}^{N} e^{-iR_p k} \sum_{i,\alpha} C_{n \in win,i\alpha}^{(k)} \left\langle \phi_{i\alpha}(r - \tau_i - R_p) \middle| \phi_{j,\beta}(r) \right\rangle$$

- 1. Easier to calculate;
- 2. Can be tuned by generating new PAO;
- 3. Can be put anywhere in the unit cell;
- 4. Quantization axis and hybridizations can also be controlled.

Disentangle bands in metal



Select $N_{win}^{(k)}$ bands locates in an energy window. These bands constitute a large space $F(\mathbf{k})$. The number of bands at each k inside the window should be larger or equal to the number of WF.

Target is to find an optimized subspace $S(\mathbf{k})$, which gives the smallest Ω_I

$$\Omega_{I} = \frac{1}{N_{kp}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{kp}} \sum_{b} W_{b} T_{k,b}$$
$$T_{k,b} = N - \sum_{m,n} \left| M_{mn}^{(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{b})} \right|^{2} = Tr \left[\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{b}} \right]$$

P is the operator which project onto a set of bands while Q is projecting onto the left set of bands. Therefore, $\Omega_{|}$ measures the mismatch between two sets of bands at k and k+b, respectively.

Iterative minimization of Ω_{r}

Using Lagrange multipliers to enforce orthonormality and the stationary condition at *i*-th iteration is:



in the *i*-th iteration

If inner window is set, the full space shrink.

Interpolation of band structure

 ${\bf q}$ is the grid of BZ used for constructing MLWF

$$\left| u_{n\mathbf{q}}^{(W)} \right\rangle = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{\mathbf{q}}} U_{mn}(\mathbf{q}) \left| \phi_{m\mathbf{q}} \right\rangle \qquad \left| n\mathbf{R} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{N_{\mathbf{q}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}=1}^{N_{\mathbf{q}}} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{R}} \left| u_{n\mathbf{q}}^{(W)} \right\rangle$$
$$H_{nm}^{(W)}(\mathbf{q}) = \left\langle u_{n\mathbf{q}}^{(W)} \left| \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{q}) \right| u_{m\mathbf{q}}^{(W)} \right\rangle = \sum_{i} U_{in}^{*}(\mathbf{q}) \left\langle u_{i\mathbf{q}} \left| \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{q}) \sum_{j} U_{jm}(q) \right| u_{j\mathbf{q}} \right\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{i,j} U_{in}^{*}(\mathbf{q}) \left\langle u_{i\mathbf{q}} \left| \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{q}) \right| u_{j\mathbf{q}} \right\rangle U_{jm}(q) = \left[U^{+}\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{q}) U \right]_{nm}$$

Hamiltonian in Wannier gauge can be diagonalized and the bands inside the inner window will have the same eigen-value as in original Hamiltonian gauge.

Other operators can be transferred to Wannier gauge in the similar way.

Interpolation of band structure

Fourier transfer into the R space:

$$H_{nm}^{(W)}(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{N_{\mathbf{q}}} \sum_{\mathbf{q}=1}^{N_{\mathbf{q}}} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{R}} H_{nm}^{(W)}(\mathbf{q})$$

Here R denotes the Wigner-Seitz supercell centered home unit cell.

To do the interpolation of band structure at arbitrary k point, inverse Fourier transform is performed:

$$H_{nm}^{(W)}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{R}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}} H_{nm}^{(W)}(\mathbf{R})$$

Diagonalize this Hamiltonian, the eigenvalues and states will be gotten.

This is directly related to Slater-Koster interpolation, with MLWFs playing the role of the TB basis orbitals.

Wannier.Func.Calc on Wannier.Func.Num 11 Wannier.Outer.Window.Bottom -8.7 Wannier.Outer.Window.Top 6.0 Wannier.Inner.Window.Bottom -4.0 Wannier.Inner.Window.Top 0.0 Wannier.Initial.Guess on Wannier.Initial.Projectors.Unit ANG

AU, ANG or FRAC





 Species.Number
 5

 <Definition.of.Atomic.Species</td>

 H
 H5.0-s2p2
 H_PBE13

 C
 C5.0-s2p2d1
 C_PBE13

 V
 V6.0-s2p2d2f1
 V_PBE13

 Cpro
 C5.0-s1p1d1
 C_PBE13

 Vpro
 V6.0-s1p1d1
 V_PBE13

Definition.of.Atomic.Species>

Wannier, Initial, Guess on Wannier.Initial.Projectors.Unit ANG <Wannier.Initial.Projectors 7.02378 8.50000 0.00000 Cpro-pz Cpro-pz 7.76209 9.77664 0.00000 Cpro-pz 9.23791 9.77664 0.00000 Cpro-pz 9.97623 8.50000 0.00000 Cpro-pz 9.23791 7.22336 0.00000 Cpro-pz 7.76209 7.22336 0.00000 Vpro-d 8.5 8.5 1.65 Wannier.Initial.Projectors>

AU, ANG or FRAC

Wannier.Kgrid	2 2 20
Wannier.MaxShells	12
Wannier.Interpolated.Bands	on # on off, default=off
Wannier.Function.Plot	on # on off, default=off
Wannier.Function.Plot.SuperCells	0 0 1 # default=0 0 0
Wannier.Dis.Mixing.Para	0.5
Wannier.Dis.Conv.Criterion	1e-10
Wannier.Dis.SCF.Max.Steps	5000
Wannier.Minimizing.Max.Steps Wannier.Minimizing.Scheme Wannier.Minimizing.StepLength Wannier.Minimizing.Secant.Steps Wannier.Minimizing.Secant.StepLength Wannier.Minimizing.Conv.Criterion	 800 2 # 0 Steepest-descent; 1 conjugate gradient; 2 Hybrid 2.0 2.0 2.0 1e-10
Wannier.Readin.Overlap.Matrix	off

Files:

case.mmn overlap matrix $M_{mn}^{(k,b)}$ case.amn initial guess $A_{mn}^{(k)}$ case.eigen eigenvalue and Bloch wavefunction

case.HWR $H_{nm}^{(W)}(\mathbf{R})$ case.Wannier_Band interpolated bands

CONV

Disentangl Disentangl							

Iteration(s) to minimize OMEGA I							

Iter	Omega_I (Angs^2)	Delta_I (Angs^2)) > D <mark>ISE</mark>				
1	152.171064809446	152.17106480944	46> <mark>DISE</mark>				
2	134.186576107804	-17.98448870164	42> DISE				
i 3 i	127.128501721323	-7.05807438648	B1> DISE				
i 4 i	121.733285733873	-5.3952159874	51> DISE				
i 5 i	117,693911475738	-4.03937425813	34> DISE				
6	114.660891433347	-3.03302004239	91> DISE				
Starting minimization of OMEGA D and OMEGA OD							
For spin component 0:							
Using guide for WF center.							
Initialized Wannier Function before optimization:							
C	gs^2)						
	.0/39/220, 2.00009	912, 1.90020040)					
WF 2 (4	.3023/955, 2.5/899	410, 1.90020855)	13.49934260	>CENT			
WF 3 (4	.16434220, 2.20/33	676, 1.90020851)	11.549214/1	>CENT			
WF 4 (3	.99284591, 2.60432	905, 1.90020856)	8.78137563	>CENT			
WF 5 (4	.29825726, 2.19887	731, 1.90020852)	6.88813886	>CENT			
******	*****	*****	*****	**> CONV			
	> CONV						
*******	**> CONV						

Initial guess in OpenMX

physical intuition is

within the new coordinate system defined by z-axis and x-axis. 2 Hybridization between s and px orbitals Orbital Number of Description sp including $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(s+p_x)$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(s-p_x)$ included name projector s orbital from PAOs 1 s sp2 3 Hybridization among s, px, and py orbit including $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}s - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}p_x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}p_y$, p_x, p_y, p_z from PAOs 3 p $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}s - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}p_x - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}p_y$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}s + \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}p_y$ p_x from PAOs 1 px p_y from PAOs 1 py sp3 4 Hybridization among s, px, py and pz o p_x from PAOs 1 pz $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(s+p_x+p_y+p_z), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(s+p_x-p_z)$ $d_{z^2}, d_{x^2-u^2}, d_{xu}, d_{xz}, d_{uz}$ from PAOs d 5 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(s - p_x + p_y - p_z), \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(s - p_x - p_z)$ d_2 from PAOs dz2 1 sp3dz2 5 Hybridization among s, p_x, p_y, p_z and dx2-y2 $d_{\tau^2 - v^2}$ from PAOs 1 orbitals: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}s - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}p_x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}p_y$, d_{xy} from PAOs 1 dxy $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}s - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}p_x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}p_y, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}s - \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}p_x$ 1 d_{xy} from PAOs dxz d_{xy} from PAOs 1 dyz $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}p_z + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}d_{z^2}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}p_z + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}d_{z^2}$ 7 $f_{x^3}, f_{xx^2}, f_{yx^2}, f_{xx^2}, f_{xyz}, f_{x^3-3xy^2}, f_{3yx^2-y^3}$ 6 sp3deg Hybridization among s, p_x, p_y, p_z and from PAOs \mathbf{u}

Table 7: Orbitals and hybrids used as projector. The hybridization is done

here and important!

Benzene Molecule MLWF

• With pz on each C atom as initial guess









Benzene Molecular Orbitals



Vanadium Benzene Chain











Model of V-Bz electronic structure

Now we can propose model to clarify the essence of the electronic structures.



VBz Chain FM GGA MLWF

Initial guess: pz orbital on each C atom and 5d on V atom



Spread 1.200









Spread 1.235

Spread 0.857

Omega_I=12.19080 Omega_D= 0.0021 Omega_OD= 0.2059 Total_Omega=12.3988

Spread 1.122

VBz Chain FM GGA MLWF

Initial guess: Benzene molecular orbitals and 5d on V atom



2.831 σ



3.391 π



3.390 π

Omega_I=12.1908 Omega_D= 0.00 Omega_OD=13.7714 Total_Omega=25.9622







3.920



Berry Phase and Wannier function



$$|w_0\rangle = rac{a}{2\pi} \int dk \; (-i\partial_k e^{ikx}) \; |u_k\rangle = rac{a}{2\pi} \int dk \; e^{ikx} \; i \left|\partial_k u_k
ight
angle$$

$$x_0 = -rac{a}{2\pi} \operatorname{Im} \int\limits_{0}^{2\pi/a} dk \ \langle u_k | \partial_k | u_k
angle
onumber x_0 = rac{a\phi}{2\pi}$$

that is, the Berry phase ϕ introduced earlier is nothing other than a measure of the location of the Wannier center in the unit cell. The fact that ϕ was

ref: David Vanderbilt, Raffaele Resta: Quantum electrostatics of insulators - Polarization, Wannier functions, and electric fields

Thank you for your attention!

Hongming Weng hmweng@iphy.ac.cn

Next lecture on the Berry phase based Band Topology Theory and its application on Topological Materials.